

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/808,416	Applicant(s) KIUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Jeffrey Filipek.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2007

**Status of Application:** Responding to amendment filed 01/16/07

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:30AM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
All claims

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired on performing Examiner's Amendment for changing the phrase, "operable to" to "for..." throughout the claims which Applicant's Representative, after verifying with Applicant, agreed to via a proposed amendment. Examiner accepted such proposed amendments as the changes can be seen in the Examiner's Amendment section of the attached Office Action.